

**NORTH SHORE – BARRINGTON ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®**  
**THE VOICE FOR REAL ESTATE ON THE NORTH SHORE AND BARRINGTON**

NORTHBROOK OFFICE  
450 SKOKIE BLVD, BLD 1200  
NORTHBROOK, ILLINOIS 60062  
847-480-7177, FAX 847-480-7362  
<http://www.nsbar.org>



BARRINGTON OFFICE  
324 N HOUGH  
BARRINGTON, ILLINOIS 60010  
847-381-7827, FAX 847-381-7899  
<http://www.barringtonrealtor.com>

---

Enclosed you will find the forms for filing an Arbitration request. Please fill in the information and return it to the Board office, WITH YOUR CHECK IN THE AMOUNT OF \$250.00 (FILING FEE) and your Agreement to Arbitrate. Your Designated REALTOR® should sign the form as well. Also send all paperwork pertaining to the complaint and a summary of the events which led up to your dispute. Please be as thorough as possible in your explanation of events.

Upon receiving the enclosed form, your complaint will be forwarded to the Respondent for reply. When the reply is received, your request and the response will be forwarded to the Grievance Committee for review and recommendation.

For your information, Arbitration cases are always between Broker and Broker. If the salespeople are the ones involved, they shall be witnesses. Also, the Board cannot award punitive damages.

If the Grievance Committee dismisses the Complaint or refuses Arbitration, you can appeal the decision to the Board of Directors within 20 days from receipt of the Dismissal Notice. If your complaint involves an Arbitration request and a violation of the Code of Ethics, the Board will consider them separately.

If the Grievance Committee forwards your case to a formal hearing, the North Shore-Barrington Association of REALTORS® will not prosecute for you nor defend you. As Complainant, it is your responsibility to attend the Hearing and provide the necessary evidence and witnesses to support your claim.

An Ethics Complaint or Request for Arbitration must be filed with the Board within 180 days after the matter could have been known with reasonable diligence. May we please have your reply with in two weeks.

Sincerely,

NORTH SHORE-BARRINGTON ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®

Terese (Terry) Penza, RCE, CAE  
President & Chief Operating Officer



## **Part Twelve— Conduct of an Arbitration Hearing**

An arbitration hearing must be conducted in a manner which is fair to all parties. This means that the parties must know their rights and responsibilities in advance so they may properly prepare and present their positions. Procedures are required to assure an orderly hearing. But procedures may and should be modified as interests of justice and truth dictate. However, in modifying established procedures, care must be taken to assure that the rights and interests of all parties are protected. For this reason, variation from prescribed procedures should be reviewed with Board counsel and counsel for the parties prior to implementation.

Following are six (6) outlines. The first and second are outlines of procedural information of interest and concern primarily to the parties involved. This information should be provided to them well in advance of any hearing (Form #A-10 and Form #A-10a, as appropriate, Part Thirteen). The third outline is primarily of interest to Hearing Panels and particularly to the Chairpersons who preside over arbitration hearings. The fourth outline is primarily of interest to Hearing Panels and particularly to the Chairpersons who preside over interboard arbitration hearings. The fifth and sixth outlines are primarily of interest to tribunals and particularly to Chairpersons who preside over procedural review requests and interboard procedural review requests, respectively.

### **Outline of Procedure for Arbitration Hearing** (To be mailed in advance to both parties.)

**Postponement of hearing:** Postponement may be granted if there are extenuating circumstances. Requests for postponement must be made in writing. Permission can be given by the Chairperson. All parties shall be advised of the date of the rescheduled hearing.

**Recording the hearing:** The Board shall, and any party (may/may not), at their own expense, have a court reporter or recorder present at the hearing, or may tape record the proceeding, and, if transcribed, shall furnish a copy to the Secretary.

**Method and objective of procedure:** The Hearing Panel shall not be bound by the rules of evidence applicable in courts of law, but shall afford all parties a full opportunity to be heard, present witnesses, and offer evidence, subject to its judgment as to relevance.

**Due process procedure:** The hearing will proceed as follows:

- (1) Opening statement by Chairperson—cite authority to hear case and explain reason for hearing.
- (2) The arbitration request will be read into the record.
- (3) The testimony of all parties and witnesses will be sworn or affirmed. All witnesses will be excused from the hearing except while testifying.
- (4) The parties will be given an opportunity to present evidence and testimony in their behalf and they may call witnesses.
- (5) The parties and their legal counsel will be afforded an opportunity to examine and cross-examine all witnesses and parties.
- (6) The panel members may ask questions at any time during the proceedings.
- (7) The Chairperson may exclude any questions which he or she deems irrelevant or argumentative.
- (8) Each side may make a closing statement. The complainant will make the first closing statement and the respondent will make the final closing statement.
- (9) Adjournment of hearing.
- (10) The Hearing Panel will go into executive session to decide the case.

**Award in arbitration hearing:** The decision of the Hearing Panel in an arbitration proceeding shall be reduced to writing by the panel (setting forth only the amount of the award) and be signed by the Arbitrators or a majority of them, and a copy shall be furnished to each of the parties to the arbitration. A copy also shall be filed with the Secretary of the Board.

**Use of legal counsel:** A party may be represented in any hearing by legal counsel. However, parties may not refuse to directly respond to requests for information or questions addressed to them by members of the panel except on grounds of self-incrimination, or on other grounds deemed by the panel to be appropriate. In this connection, the panel need not accept the statements of counsel as being the statements of counsel's client if the panel desires direct testimony. Parties shall be held responsible for the conduct of their counsel. Any effort by counsel to harass, intimidate, coerce, or confuse the panel members or any party to the proceedings, or any action by counsel which is viewed by the panel as disruptive of the proceedings, shall be grounds for exclusion of counsel. The decision to exclude counsel for any of the foregoing reasons shall be the result of a majority vote of the members of the panel and shall be nonappealable. In the event counsel is excluded, the hearing shall be postponed to a date certain not less than fifteen (15) nor more than thirty (30) days from the date of adjournment to enable the party to obtain alternate counsel, provided, however, that such postponement shall not be authorized if it appears to members of the panel that the action of counsel has been undertaken by counsel to obtain a postponement or delay of the hearing.



**NORTH SHORE-BARRINGTON ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®**  
324 North Hough Street, Barrington, IL 60010  
450 Skokie Blvd., Bldg. 1200, Northbrook, IL 60062

**Request and Agreement to Arbitrate**

- (1) The undersigned, by becoming and remaining a member of the North Shore – Barrington Association of REALTORS® (or Participant in its MLS), has previously consented to arbitration through the Board under its rules and regulations.
- (2) I am informed that each person named below is a member in good standing of the Board (or Participant in its MLS), or was a member of said Board of REALTORS® at the time the dispute arose.
- (3) A dispute arising out of the real estate business as defined by Article 17 of the Code of Ethics exists between me (or my firm) and (list all persons and/or firms you wish to name as respondents to this arbitration):

\_\_\_\_\_, REALTOR® principal \_\_\_\_\_  
Name Address

\_\_\_\_\_, REALTOR® principal \_\_\_\_\_  
Name Address

\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_  
Firm Address

- (4) There is due, unpaid and owing to me (or I retain) from the above-named persons the sum of \$\_\_\_\_\_. My claim is predicated upon the statement attached, marked Exhibit I and incorporated by reference into this application.
- (5) I request and consent to arbitration through the Board in accordance with its Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual (alternatively, “in accordance with the professional standards procedures set forth in the bylaws of the Board”), and I agree to abide by the arbitration award and to comply with it promptly.

In the event I do not comply with the arbitration award and it is necessary for any party to this arbitration to obtain judicial confirmation and enforcement of the arbitration award against me, I agree to pay the party obtaining such confirmation the costs and reasonable attorney’s fees incurred in obtaining such confirmation and enforcement.

- (6) I enclose my check in the sum of \$250 for the arbitration filing deposit.\*
- (7) I understand that I may be represented by legal counsel, and that I should give written notice no less than fifteen (15) days before the hearing of the name, address, and phone number of my attorney to all parties and the Board. Failure to provide this notice may result in a continuance of the hearing, if the Hearing Panel determines that the rights of the other party(ies) require representation.

Each party must provide a list of the names of witnesses he intends to call at the hearing to the Board and to all other parties not less than fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing. Each party shall arrange for his witnesses to be present at the time and place designated for the hearing. The following REALTOR® nonprincipal (or REALTOR-ASSOCIATE® nonprincipal) affiliated with my firm has a financial interest in the outcome of the proceeding and has the right to be present throughout the hearing:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name

- \*Not to exceed \$500
- (8) I declare that this application and the allegations contained herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and this request for arbitration is filed within one hundred eighty (180) days after the closing of the transaction, if any, or within one hundred eighty (180) days after the facts constituting the arbitrable matter could have been known in the exercise of reasonable diligence, whichever is later.
- (9) If either party to an arbitration request believes that the Grievance Committee has incorrectly classified the issue presented in the request (i.e., mandatory or voluntary), the party has twenty (20) days from the date of receipt of the Grievance Committee’s decision to file a written appeal of the decision. Only those materials that the Grievance Committee had at the time of its determination may be considered with the appeal by the Board of Directors.



(10) Are the circumstances giving rise to this arbitration request the subject of civil litigation? Yes  No

**Complainant(s):**

---

|                            |                                 |      |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------|
| Name of REALTOR® Principal | Signature of REALTOR® Principal | Date |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------|

---

|         |           |
|---------|-----------|
| Address | Telephone |
|---------|-----------|

---

|                            |                                 |      |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------|
| Name of REALTOR® Principal | Signature of REALTOR® Principal | Date |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------|

---

|         |           |
|---------|-----------|
| Address | Telephone |
|---------|-----------|

---

|              |         |
|--------------|---------|
| Name of Firm | Address |
|--------------|---------|

\* In cases where arbitration is requested in the name of a firm comprised of REALTORS® (principals), the request must be signed by at least one of the REALTOR® principals of the firm as a complainant.





## **Outline of Procedure for Arbitration Hearing (To be Mailed in Advance to Both Parties)**

**Postponement of hearing:** Postponement may be granted if there are extenuating circumstances. Requests for postponement must be made in writing. Permission can be given by the Chairperson. All parties shall be advised of the date of the rescheduled hearing.

**Recording the hearing:** The Board shall, and any party (may/may not), at the party's expense, have a court reporter or recorder present or may tape record the proceeding and, if transcribed, shall present a transcript to the Secretary. If the Board utilizes a court reporter in lieu of tape recording, the parties may not be prohibited from making their own tape recording.

**Method and objective of procedure:** The Hearing Panel shall not be bound by the rules of evidence applicable in courts of law, but shall afford all parties a full opportunity to be heard, present witnesses, and offer evidence, subject to its judgment as to relevance.

**Due process procedure:** The hearing procedures will be:

- (1) Opening statement by Chairperson—cite authority to hear case and explain reason for hearing.
- (2) The arbitration request will be read into the record.
- (3) The testimony of all parties and witnesses will be sworn or affirmed. All witnesses will be excused from the hearing except while testifying.
- (4) The parties will be given an opportunity to present evidence and testimony on their behalf and they may call witnesses.
- (5) The parties and their legal counsel will be afforded an opportunity to examine and cross-examine all witnesses and parties.
- (6) The panel members may ask questions at any time during the proceedings.
- (7) The Chairperson may exclude any question ruled to be irrelevant or argumentative.
- (8) Each side may make a closing statement. The complainant will make the first closing statement and the respondent will make the final closing statement.
- (9) Adjournment of hearing.
- (10) The Hearing Panel will go into executive session to decide the case.

**Award in arbitration hearing:** The decision of the Hearing Panel in an arbitration proceeding shall be reduced to writing (setting forth only the amount of the award by the panel) and signed by the arbitrators or a majority of them, and a copy shall be furnished to each of the parties to the arbitration. A copy also shall be filed with the Secretary of the Board.

**Use of legal counsel:** A party may be represented in any hearing by legal counsel. However, parties may not refuse to directly respond to requests for information or questions addressed to them by members of the panel except on grounds of self-incrimination, or on other grounds deemed by the panel to be appropriate. In this connection, the panel need not accept the statements of counsel as being the statements of counsel's client if the panel desires direct testimony. Parties shall be held responsible for the conduct of their counsel. Any effort by counsel to harass, intimidate, coerce, or confuse the panel members or any party to the proceedings, or any action by counsel which is viewed by the panel as disruptive of the proceedings, shall be grounds for exclusion of counsel. The decision to exclude counsel for any of the foregoing reasons shall be the result of a majority vote of the members of the panel and shall be nonappealable. In the event counsel is excluded, the hearing shall be postponed to a date certain not less than fifteen (15) nor more than thirty (30) days from date of adjournment to enable the party to obtain alternate counsel provided, however, that such postponement shall not be authorized if it appears to members of the panel that the action of counsel has been undertaken by counsel to obtain a postponement or delay of the hearing.

Be advised that all matters discussed are strictly confidential.

(Revised 11/97)



**NOTH SHORE-BARRINGTON ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®**  
324 North Hough Street, Barrington, IL 60010  
450 Skokie Blvd., Bldg. 1200, Northbrook, IL 60062

**WITNESS FORM**

Please fill out this form and return it to the North Shore-Barrington Association no later than fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing.

\_\_\_\_\_ I plan to bring an attorney to the hearing. My attorney's name, address and phone number are:

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Attorney Name*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Firm Name*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Address*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*City, State, Zip Code*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Phone Number*

\_\_\_\_\_ I am not being represented by an attorney.

The following are my witnesses:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

The association must receive notice of all witnesses at least 15 days prior to the hearing. Witnesses are excused after introductory remarks by the chairman. They are called back to give testimony and may be cross-examined by the other party and/or his attorney, as well as the hearing panel.

Sales agents' brokers, office managers and Designated Realtors® have the right to remain throughout the proceedings, and testify, (if desired), even if they have not been enjoined in the complaint.

\_\_\_\_\_ *Case File #* \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ *Name of Case*

\_\_\_\_\_ *Your Name* \_\_\_\_\_ *Date*



**Appendix II to Part Ten**  
**Arbitration Guidelines**  
**(Suggested Factors for Consideration by a Hearing Panel in Arbitration)**

A key element in the practice of real estate is the contract. Experienced practitioners quickly become conversant with the elements of contract formation. Inquiry, invitation, offer, counteroffer, contingency, waiver, acceptance, rejection, execution, breach, rescission, reformation, and other words of art become integral parts of the broker's vocabulary.

Given the significant degree to which Article 3's mandate for cooperation—coupled with everyday practicality, feasibility, and expediency—make cooperative transactions facts of life, it quickly becomes apparent that in virtually every real estate transaction there are actually several contracts which come into play. Setting aside ancillary but still important contracts for things such as mortgages, appraisals, inspections, title insurance, etc., in a typical residential transaction (and the same will be true in many commercial transactions as well) there are at least three (and often four) contracts involved, and each, while established independently of the others, soon appears to be inextricably intertwined with the others.

First, there is the listing contract between the seller and the listing broker. This contract creates the relationship between these parties, establishes the duties of each and the terms under which the listing broker will be deemed to have earned a commission, and frequently will authorize the listing broker to cooperate with or compensate (or both) cooperating brokers who may be subagents, buyer agents, or acting in some other capacity.

Second, there is the contract between the listing broker and cooperating brokers. While this may be created through an offer published through a multiple listing service or through some other method of formalized cooperative effort, it need not be. Unlike the bilateral listing contract (where generally the seller agrees to pay a commission in return for the listing broker's production of a ready, willing, and able purchaser), the contract between the listing broker and the cooperating broker is unilateral in nature. This simply means that the listing broker determines the terms and conditions of the offer to potential cooperating brokers (and this offer may vary as to different potential cooperating brokers or as to cooperating brokers in different categories). This type of contract differs from a bilateral contract also in that there is no contract formed between the listing broker and the potential cooperating brokers upon receipt of the listing broker's offer. The contract is formed only when accepted by the cooperating broker, and acceptance occurs only through performance as the procuring cause of the successful transaction. (Revised 11/97)

Third, there is the purchase contract—sometimes referred to as the purchase and sale agreement. This bilateral contract between the seller and the buyer establishes their respective promises and obligations to each other, which may also impact on third parties. The fact that someone other than the seller or buyer is referenced in the purchase contract does not make him/her a party to that contract, though it may create rights or entitlements which may be enforceable against a party (the buyer or seller).

Fourth, there may be a buyer-broker agreement in effect between the purchaser and a broker. Similar in many ways to the listing contract, this bilateral contract establishes the duties of the purchaser and the broker as well as the terms and conditions of the broker's compensation.

These contracts are similar in that they are created through offer and acceptance. They vary in that acceptance of a bilateral contract is through a reciprocal promise (e.g., the purchaser's promise to pay the agreed price in return for the seller's promise to convey good title), while acceptance of a unilateral contract is through performance (e.g., in producing or procuring a ready, willing, and able purchaser).

Each of these contracts is subject to similar hazards in formation and afterward. The maker's (offeror's) offer in any of these scenarios may be accepted or rejected. The intended recipient of the offer (or offeree) may counteroffer. There may be questions as to whether a contract was formed—e.g., was there an offer, was it accepted, was the acceptance on the terms and conditions specified by the maker of the offer—or was the "acceptance" actually a counteroffer (which, by definition, rejects the first offer). A contract, once formed, may be breached. These and other questions of contract formation arise on a daily basis. There are several methods by which contractual questions (or "issues" or "disputes") are resolved. These include civil lawsuits, arbitration, and mediation.

Another key contract is the one entered into when a real estate professional joins a local Board of REALTORS® and becomes a REALTOR®. In return for the many benefits of membership, a REALTOR® promises to abide by the duties of membership including strict adherence to the Code of Ethics. Among the Code's duties is the obligation to arbitrate, established in Article 17. Article 17 is interpreted through four Standards of Practice among which is Standard of Practice 17-4 which enumerates four situations under which REALTORS® agree to arbitrate specified non-contractual disputes. (Adopted 11/96)

Boards and Associations of REALTORS® provide arbitration to resolve contractual issues and questions and specific non-contractual issues and questions that arise between members, between members and their clients, and, in some cases, between parties to a transaction brought about through the efforts of REALTORS®. Disputes arising out of any of the four above-referenced contractual relationships may be arbitrated, and the rules and procedures of Boards and Associations of REALTORS® require that certain types of



disputes must be arbitrated if either party so requests. (Information on “mandatory” and “voluntary” arbitration is found elsewhere in the Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual.) (Revised 11/96)

While issues between REALTORS® and their clients—e.g., listing broker/seller (or landlord) or buyer broker/buyer (or tenant)—are subject to mandatory arbitration (subject to the client’s agreement to arbitrate), and issues between sellers and buyers may be arbitrated at their mutual agreement, in many cases such issues are resolved in the courts or in other alternative dispute resolution forums (which may also be administered by Boards or Associations of REALTORS®). The majority of arbitration hearings conducted by Boards and Associations involve questions of contracts between REALTORS®, most frequently between listing and cooperating brokers, or between two or more cooperating brokers. These generally involve questions of procuring cause, where the panel is called on to determine which of the contesting parties is entitled to the funds in dispute. While awards are generally for the full amount in question (which may be required by state law), in exceptional cases, awards may be split between the parties (again, except where prohibited by state law). Split awards are the exception rather than the rule and should be utilized only when Hearing Panels determine that the transaction would have resulted only through the combined efforts of both parties. It should also be considered that questions of representation and entitlement to compensation are separate issues. (Revised 11/98)

In the mid-1970s, the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® established the Arbitration Guidelines to assist Boards and Associations in reaching fair and equitable decisions in arbitration; to prevent the establishment of any one, single rule or standard by which arbitrable issues would be decided; and to ensure that arbitrable questions would be decided by knowledgeable panels taking into careful consideration all relevant facts and circumstances.

The Arbitration Guidelines have served the industry well for nearly two decades. But, as broker-to-broker cooperation has increasingly involved contracts between listing brokers and buyer brokers and between listing brokers and brokers acting in nonagency capacities, the time came to update the Guidelines so they remained relevant and useful. It is to this end that the following is intended.

### **Procuring Cause**

As discussed earlier, one type of contract frequently entered into by REALTORS® is the listing contract between sellers and listing brokers. Procuring cause disputes between sellers and listing brokers are often decided in court. The reasoning relied on by the courts in resolving such claims is articulated in Black’s Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition, definition of procuring cause:

The proximate cause; the cause originating a series of events which, without break in their continuity, result in the accomplishment of the prime object. The inducing cause; the direct or proximate cause. Substantially synonymous with “efficient cause.” A broker will be regarded as the “procuring cause” of a sale, so as to be entitled to commission, if his efforts are the foundation on which the negotiations resulting in a sale are begun. A cause originating a series of events which, without break in their continuity, result in accomplishment of prime objective of the employment of the broker who is producing a purchaser ready, willing, and able to buy real estate on the owner’s terms. *Mohamed v. Robbins*, 23 Ariz. App. 195, 531 p.2d 928, 930.

See also Producing cause; Proximate cause.

Disputes concerning the contracts between listing brokers and cooperating brokers, however, are addressed by the National Association’s Arbitration Guidelines promulgated pursuant to Article 17 of the Code of Ethics. While guidance can be taken from judicial determinations of disputes between sellers and listing brokers, procuring cause disputes between listing and cooperating brokers, or between two cooperating brokers, can be resolved based on similar though not identical principles. While a number of definitions of procuring cause exist, and a myriad of factors may ultimately enter into any determination of procuring cause, for purposes of arbitration conducted by Boards and Associations of REALTORS®, procuring cause in broker to broker disputes can be readily understood as the uninterrupted series of causal events which results in the successful transaction. Or, in other words, what “caused” the successful transaction to come about. “Successful transaction,” as used in these Arbitration Guidelines, is defined as “a sale that closes or a lease that is executed.” Many REALTORS®, Executive Officers, lawyers, and others have tried, albeit unsuccessfully, to develop a single, comprehensive template that could be used in all procuring cause disputes to determine entitlement to the sought-after award without the need for a comprehensive analysis of all relevant details of the underlying transaction. Such efforts, while well-intentioned, were doomed to failure in view of the fact that there is no “typical” real estate transaction any more than there is “typical” real estate or a “typical” REALTOR®. In light of the unique nature of real property and real estate transactions, and acknowledging that fair and equitable decisions could be reached only with a comprehensive understanding of the events that led to the transaction, the National Association’s Board of Directors, in 1973, adopted Official Interpretation 31 of Article I, Section 2 of the Bylaws. Subsequently amended in 1977, Interpretation 31 establishes that:

A Board rule or a rule of a Multiple Listing Service owned by, operated by, or affiliated with a Board, which establishes, limits or restricts the REALTOR® in his relations with a potential purchaser, affecting recognition periods or purporting to predetermine entitlement to any award in arbitration, is an inequitable limitation on its membership.

The explanation of Interpretation 31 goes on to provide, in part:



... [T]he Board or its MLS may not establish a rule or regulation which purports to predetermine entitlement to any awards in a real estate transaction. If controversy arises as to entitlement to any awards, it shall be determined by a hearing in arbitration on the merits of all ascertainable facts in the context of the specific case of controversy.

It is not uncommon for procuring cause disputes to arise out of offers by listing brokers to compensate cooperating brokers made through a multiple listing service. A multiple listing service is defined as a facility for the orderly correlation and dissemination of listing information among Participants so that they may better serve their clients and customers and the public; is a means by which authorized Participants make blanket unilateral offers of compensation to other Participants (acting as subagents, buyer agents, or in other agency or nonagency capacities defined by law); is a means by which information is accumulated and disseminated to enable authorized Participants to prepare appraisals and other valuations of real property; and is a means by which Participants engaging in real estate appraisal contribute to common databases. Entitlement to compensation is determined by the cooperating broker's performance as procuring cause of the sale (or lease). While offers of compensation made by listing brokers to cooperating brokers through MLS are unconditional,\* the definition of MLS and the offers of compensation made through the MLS provide that a listing broker's obligation to compensate a cooperating broker who was the procuring cause of sale (or lease) may be excused if it is determined through arbitration that, through no fault of the listing broker and in the exercise of good faith and reasonable care, it was impossible or financially unfeasible for the listing broker to collect a commission pursuant to the listing agreement. In such instances, entitlement to cooperative compensation offered through MLS would be a question to be determined by an arbitration Hearing Panel based on all relevant facts and circumstances including, but not limited to, why it was impossible or financially unfeasible for the listing broker to collect some or all of the commission established in the listing agreement; at what point in the transaction did the listing broker know (or should have known) that some or all of the commission established in the listing agreement might not be paid; and how promptly had the listing broker communicated to cooperating brokers that the commission established in the listing agreement might not be paid. (Revised 11/98)

*\*Compensation is unconditional except where local MLS rules permit listing brokers to reserve the right to reduce compensation offers to cooperating brokers in the event that the commission established in a listing contract is reduced by court action or by actions of a lender. Refer to Multiple Listing Policy Statement 7.23, Information Specifying the Compensation on Each Listing Filed with a Multiple Listing Service of a Board of REALTORS®, Handbook on Multiple Listing Policy. (Adopted 11/98)*

### **Factors for Consideration by Arbitration Hearing Panels**

The following factors are recommended for consideration by Hearing Panels convened to arbitrate disputes between brokers, or between brokers and their clients or their customers. This list is not all-inclusive nor can it be. Not every factor will be applicable in every instance. The purpose is to guide panels as to facts, issues, and relevant questions that may aid them in reaching fair, equitable, and reasoned decisions.

#### **Factor #1. No predetermined rule of entitlement**

Every arbitration hearing is considered in light of all of the relevant facts and circumstances as presented by the parties and their witnesses. "Rules of thumb," prior decisions by other panels in other matters, and other predeterminants are to be disregarded.

Procuring cause shall be the primary determining factor in entitlement to compensation. Agency relationships, in and of themselves, do not determine entitlement to compensation. The agency relationship with the client and entitlement to compensation are separate issues. A relationship with the client, or lack of one, should only be considered in accordance with the guidelines established to assist panel members in determining procuring cause. (Adopted 4/95)

#### **Factor #2. Arbitrability and appropriate parties**

While primarily the responsibility of the Grievance Committee, arbitration Hearing Panels may consider questions of whether an arbitrable issue actually exists and whether the parties named are appropriate to arbitration. A detailed discussion of these questions can be found in Appendix I to Part Ten, Arbitrable Issues.

#### **Factor #3. Relevance and admissibility**

Frequently, Hearing Panels are asked to rule on questions of admissibility and relevancy. While state law, if applicable, controls, the general rule is that anything the Hearing Panel believes may assist it in reaching a fair, equitable, and knowledgeable decision is admissible.

Arbitration Hearing Panels are called on to resolve contractual questions, not to determine whether the law or the Code of Ethics has been violated. An otherwise substantiated award cannot be withheld solely on the basis that the Hearing Panel looks with disfavor on the potential recipient's manner of doing business or even that the panel believes that unethical conduct may have occurred. To prevent any appearance of bias, arbitration Hearing Panels and procedural review panels shall make no referrals of ethical concerns to the Grievance Committee. This is based on the premise that the fundamental right and primary responsibility to bring potentially unethical conduct to the attention of the Grievance Committee rests with the parties and others with firsthand knowledge. At the same time, evidence or testimony is not inadmissible simply because it relates to potentially unethical conduct. While an award (or failure to make a deserved award) cannot be used to "punish" a perceived "wrongdoer", it is equally true that Hearing Panels are entitled to (and



fairness requires that they) consider all relevant evidence and testimony so that they will have a clear understanding of what transpired before determining entitlement to any award. (Amended 11/96)

#### Factor #4. Communication and contact—abandonment and estrangement

Many arbitrable disputes will turn on the relationship (or lack thereof) between a broker (often a cooperating broker) and a prospective purchaser. Panels will consider whether, under the circumstances and in accord with local custom and practice, the broker made reasonable efforts to develop and maintain an ongoing relationship with the purchaser. Panels will want to determine, in cases where two cooperating brokers have competing claims against a listing broker, whether the first cooperating broker actively maintained ongoing contact with the purchaser or, alternatively, whether the broker's inactivity, or perceived inactivity, may have caused the purchaser to reasonably conclude that the broker had lost interest or disengaged from the transaction (abandonment). In other instances, a purchaser, despite reasonable efforts by the broker to maintain ongoing contact, may seek assistance from another broker. The panel will want to consider why the purchaser was estranged from the first broker. In still other instances, there may be no question that there was an ongoing relationship between the broker and purchaser; the issue then becomes whether the broker's conduct or, alternatively, the broker's failure to act when necessary, caused the purchaser to terminate the relationship (estrangement). This can be caused, among other things, by words or actions or lack of words or actions when called for. Panels will want to consider whether such conduct, or lack thereof, caused a break in the series of events leading to the transaction and whether the successful transaction was actually brought about through the initiation of a separate, subsequent series of events by the second cooperating broker. (Revised 11/99)

#### Factor #5. Conformity with state law

The procedures by which arbitration requests are received, hearings are conducted, and awards are made must be in strict conformity with the law. In such matters, the advice of Board legal counsel should be followed.

#### Factor #6. Consideration of the entire course of events

The standard of proof in Board-conducted arbitration is a preponderance of the evidence, and the initial burden of proof rests with the party requesting arbitration (see Professional Standards Policy Statement 26). This does not, however, preclude panel members from asking questions of the parties or witnesses to confirm their understanding of testimony presented or to ensure that panel members have a clear understanding of the events that led to the transaction and to the request for arbitration. Since each transaction is unique, it is impossible to develop a comprehensive list of all issues or questions that panel members may want to consider in a particular hearing. Panel members are advised to consider the following, which are representative of the issues and questions frequently involved in arbitration hearings.

##### The nature and status of the transaction

- (1) What was the nature of the transaction? Was there a residential or commercial sale/lease?
- (2) Is or was the matter the subject of litigation involving the same parties and issues as the arbitration?

##### The nature, status, and terms of the listing agreement

- (1) What was the nature of the listing or other agreement: exclusive right to sell, exclusive agency, open, or some other form of agreement?
- (2) Was the listing agreement in writing? If not, is the listing agreement enforceable?
- (3) Was the listing agreement in effect at the time the sales contract was executed?
- (4) Was the property listed subject to a management agreement?
- (5) Were the broker's actions in accordance with the terms and conditions of the listing agreement?
  - (a) Were all conditions of the listing agreement met?
  - (b) Did the final terms of the sale meet those specified in the listing agreement?
  - (c) Did the transaction close? (Refer to Appendix I to Part Ten, Arbitrable Issues)
  - (d) Did the listing broker receive a commission? If not, why not? (Refer to Appendix I to Part Ten, Arbitrable Issues)

##### The nature, status, and terms of the offer to compensate

- (1) Was an offer of cooperation and compensation made in writing? If not, how was it communicated?
- (2) Is the claimant a party to whom the listing broker's offer of compensation was extended?
- (3) Were the broker's actions in accordance with the terms and conditions of the offer of cooperation and compensation (if any)? Were all conditions of the agreement met?

##### Roles and relationships of the parties

- (1) Who was the listing broker?
- (2) Who was the cooperating broker or brokers?
- (3) Were any of the parties acting as subagents? As buyer brokers? In some other capacity?
- (4) Did any of the cooperating brokers have an agreement, written or otherwise, to act as agent or in some other capacity on behalf of any of the parties?
- (5) Were any of the brokers (including the listing broker) acting as a principal in the transaction?
- (6) What were the brokers' relationships with respect to the seller, the purchaser, the listing broker, and any other cooperating brokers involved in the transaction?
  - (a) Was the party to whom the property was sold represented by a party with whom the broker had previously dealt?



- (b) Is the primary shareholder of the buyer-corporation a party with whom the broker had previously dealt?
- (c) Was a prior prospect a vital link to the buyer?
- (7) Are all appropriate parties to the matter joined?

#### Initial contact with the purchaser

- (1) Who first introduced the purchaser or tenant to the property?
- (2) When was the first introduction made?
  - (a) Was the introduction made when the buyer had a specific need for that type of property?
  - (b) Was the introduction instrumental in creating the desire to purchase?
  - (c) Did the buyer know about the property before the broker contacted him? Did he know it was for sale?
  - (d) Were there previous dealings between the buyer and the seller?
  - (e) Did the buyer find the property on his own?
- (3) How was the first introduction made?
  - (a) Was the property introduced as an open house?
  - (b) What subsequent efforts were made by the broker after the open house? (Refer to Factor #1)
  - (c) Was the introduction made to a different representative of the buyer?
  - (d) Was the "introduction" merely a mention that the property was listed?
  - (e) What property was first introduced?

#### Conduct of the brokers

- (1) Were all required disclosures complied with?
- (2) Was there a faithful exercise of the duties a broker owes to his client/principal?
- (3) If more than one cooperating broker was involved, was either (or both) aware of the other's role in the transaction?
- (4) Did the broker who made the initial introduction to the property engage in conduct (or fail to take some action) which caused the purchaser or tenant to utilize the services of another broker? (Refer to Factor #4)
- (5) Did the cooperating broker (or second cooperating broker) initiate a separate series of events, unrelated to and not dependent on any other broker's efforts, which led to the successful transaction—that is, did the broker perform services which assisted the buyer in making his decision to purchase? (Refer to Factor #4)
  - (a) Did the broker make preparations to show the property to the buyer?
  - (b) Did the broker make continued efforts after showing the property?
  - (c) Did the broker remove an impediment to the sale?
  - (d) Did the broker make a proposal upon which the final transaction was based?
  - (e) Did the broker motivate the buyer to purchase?
- (6) How do the efforts of one broker compare to the efforts of another?
  - (a) What was the relative amount of effort by one broker compared to another?
  - (b) What was the relative success or failure of negotiations conducted by one broker compared to the other?
- (7) If more than one cooperating broker was involved, how and when did the second cooperating broker enter the transaction?

#### Continuity and breaks in continuity (abandonment and estrangement)

- (1) What was the length of time between the broker's efforts and the final sales agreement?
- (2) Did the original introduction of the purchaser or tenant to the property start an uninterrupted series of events leading to the sale or lease, or was the series of events hindered or interrupted in any way?
  - (a) Did the buyer terminate the relationship with the broker? Why? (Refer to Factor #4)
  - (b) Did negotiations break down?
- (3) If there was an interruption or break in the original series of events, how was it caused, and by whom?
  - (a) Did the seller change the listing agreement from an open listing to an exclusive listing agreement with another broker?
  - (b) Did the purchaser's motive for purchasing change?
  - (c) Was there interference in the series of events from any outside or intervening cause or party?
- (4) Did the broker who made the initial introduction to the property maintain contact with the purchaser or tenant, or could the broker's inaction have reasonably been viewed by the buyer or tenant as a withdrawal from the transaction?
- (5) Was the entry of any cooperating broker into the transaction an intrusion into an existing relationship between the purchaser and another broker, or was it the result of abandonment or estrangement of the purchaser, or at the request of the purchaser?

#### Conduct of the buyer

- (1) Did the buyer make the decision to buy independent of the broker's efforts/information?
- (2) Did the buyer negotiate without any aid from the broker?
- (3) Did the buyer seek to freeze out the broker?
  - (a) Did the buyer seek another broker in order to get a lower price?
  - (b) Did the buyer express the desire not to deal with the broker and refuse to negotiate through him?
  - (c) Did the contract provide that no brokers or certain brokers had been involved?

#### Conduct of the seller

- (1) Did the seller act in bad faith to deprive the broker of his commission?



- (a) Was there bad faith evident from the fact that the difference between the original bid submitted and the final sales price equaled the broker's commission?
- (b) Was there bad faith evident from the fact that a sale to a third party was a straw transaction (one in which a non-involved party posed as the buyer) which was designed to avoid paying commission?
- (c) Did the seller freeze out the broker to avoid a commission dispute or to avoid paying a commission at all?
- (2) Was there bad faith evident from the fact that the seller told the broker he would not sell on certain terms, but did so via another broker or via the buyer directly?

#### Leasing transactions

- (1) Did the cooperating broker have a tenant representation agreement?
- (2) Was the cooperating broker working with the "authorized" staff member of the tenant company?
- (3) Did the cooperating broker prepare a tenant needs analysis?
- (4) Did the cooperating broker prepare a market analysis of available properties?
- (5) Did the cooperating broker prepare a tour book showing alternative properties and conduct a tour?
- (6) Did the cooperating broker show the tenant the property leased?
- (7) Did the cooperating broker issue a request for proposal on behalf of the tenant for the property leased?
- (8) Did the cooperating broker take an active part in the lease negotiations?
- (9) Did the cooperating broker obtain the tenant's signature on the lease document?
- (10) Did the tenant work with more than one broker; and if so, why? (Revised 11/96)

#### Other information

Is there any other information that would assist the Hearing Panel in having a full, clear understanding of the transaction giving rise to the arbitration request or in reaching a fair and equitable resolution of the matter?

These questions are typical, but not all-inclusive, of the questions that may assist Hearing Panels in understanding the issues before them. The objective of a panel is to carefully and impartially weigh and analyze the whole course of conduct of the parties and render a reasoned peer judgment with respect to the issues and questions presented and to the request for award.

#### Sample Fact Situation Analysis

The National Association's Professional Standards Committee has consistently taken the position that arbitration awards should not include findings of fact or rationale for the arbitrators' award. Among the reasons for this are the fact that arbitration awards are not appealable on the merits but generally only on the limited procedural bases established in the governing state arbitration statute; that the issues considered by Hearing Panels are often myriad and complex, and the reasoning for an award may be equally complex and difficult to reduce to writing; and that the inclusion of written findings of fact or rationale (or both) would conceivably result in attempts to use such detail as "precedent" in subsequent hearings which might or might not involve similar facts. The end result might be elimination of the careful consideration of the entire course of events and conduct contemplated by these procedures and establishment of local, differing arbitration "templates" or predeterminants of entitlement inconsistent with these procedures and Interpretation 31.

Weighed against these concerns, however, was the desire to provide some model or sample applications of the factors, questions, and issues set forth in these Arbitration Guidelines. The following "fact situations" and analyses are provided for informational purposes and are not intended to carry precedential weight in any hearing.

#### Fact Situation #1

Listing Broker L placed a listing in the MLS and offered compensation to subagents and to buyer agents. Broker Z, not a participant in the MLS, called to arrange an appointment to show the property to a prospective purchaser. There was no discussion of compensation. Broker Z presented Broker L with a signed purchase agreement, which was accepted by the seller. Subsequently, Broker Z requested arbitration with Broker L, claiming to be the procuring cause of sale.

Analysis: While Broker Z may have been the procuring cause of sale, Broker L's offer of compensation was made only to members of the MLS. Broker L never offered cooperation and compensation to Broker Z, nor did Broker Z request compensation at any time prior to instituting the arbitration request. There was no contractual relationship between them, and therefore no issue to arbitrate.

#### Fact Situation #2

Same as #1, except Broker Z is the buyer's agent.

Analysis: Same result, since there was no contractual relationship between Broker L and Broker Z and no issue to arbitrate.

#### Fact Situation #3

Broker L placed a listing in the MLS and offered compensation to subagents and to buyer agents. Broker S (a subagent) showed the property to Buyer #1 on Sunday and again on Tuesday. On Wednesday, Broker A (a subagent) wrote an offer to purchase on behalf of



Buyer #1 which was presented to the seller by Broker L and which was accepted. At closing, subagency compensation is paid to Broker A. Broker S subsequently filed an arbitration request against Broker A, claiming to be the procuring cause of sale.

Analysis: Broker S's claim could have been brought against Broker A (pursuant to Standard of Practice 17-4) or against Broker L (the listing broker), who had promised to compensate the procuring cause of sale, thus arguably creating a contractual relationship between Broker L and Broker S. (Amended 11/96)

#### Fact Situation #4

Same as #3, except Broker S filed the arbitration request against Broker L (the listing broker).

Analysis: This is an arbitrable matter, since Broker L promised to compensate the procuring cause of sale. Broker L, to avoid the possibility of having to pay two cooperating brokers in the same transaction, should join Broker A in arbitration so that all competing claims can be resolved in a single hearing. The Hearing Panel will consider, among other things, why Buyer #1 made the offer to purchase through Broker A instead of Broker S. If it is determined that Broker S initiated a series of events which were unbroken in their continuity and which resulted in the sale, Broker S will likely prevail.

#### Fact Situation #5

Same as #3, except Broker L offered compensation only to subagents. Broker B (a buyer agent) requested permission to show the property to Buyer #1, wrote an offer which was accepted, and subsequently claimed to be the procuring cause of sale.

Analysis: Since Broker L did not make an offer of compensation to buyer brokers, there was no contractual relationship between Broker L and Broker B and no arbitrable issue to resolve.

If, on the other hand, Broker L had offered compensation to buyer brokers either through MLS or otherwise and had paid Broker A, then arbitration could have been conducted between Broker B and Broker A pursuant to Standard of Practice 17-4. Alternatively, arbitration could occur between Broker B and Broker L.

#### Fact Situation #6

Listing Broker L placed a listing in the MLS and made an offer of compensation to subagents and to buyer agents. Broker S (a subagent) showed the property to Buyer #1, who appeared uninterested. Broker S made no effort to further contact Buyer #1. Six weeks later, Broker B (a buyer broker) wrote an offer on the property on behalf of Buyer #1, presented it to Broker L, and it was accepted. Broker S subsequently filed for arbitration against Broker L, claiming to be the procuring cause. Broker L joined Broker B in the request so that all competing claims could be resolved in one hearing.

Analysis: The Hearing Panel will consider Broker S's initial introduction of the buyer to the property, the period of time between Broker S's last contact with the buyer and the time that Broker B wrote the offer, and the reason Buyer #1 did not ask Broker S to write the offer. Given the length of time between Broker S's last contact with the buyer, the fact that Broker S had made no subsequent effort to contact the buyer, and the length of time that transpired before the offer was written, abandonment of the buyer may have occurred. If this is the case, the Hearing Panel may conclude that Broker B instituted a second, separate series of events that was directly responsible for the successful transaction.

#### Fact Situation #7

Same as #6, except that Broker S (a subagent) showed Buyer #1 the property several times, most recently two days before the successful offer to purchase was written by Broker B (a buyer broker). At the arbitration hearing, Buyer #1 testified she was not dissatisfied in any way with Broker S but simply decided that "I needed a buyer agent to be sure that I got the best deal."

Analysis: The Hearing Panel should consider Broker S's initial introduction of the buyer to the property; that Broker S had remained in contact with the buyer on an ongoing basis; and whether Broker S's efforts were primarily responsible for bringing about the successful transaction. Unless abandonment or estrangement can be demonstrated, resulting, for example, because of something Broker S said or did (or neglected to say or do but reasonably should have), Broker S will likely prevail. Agency relationships are not synonymous with nor determinative of procuring cause. Representation and entitlement to compensation are separate issues. (Amended 11/99)

#### Fact Situation #8

Similar to #6, except Buyer #1 asked Broker S for a comparative market analysis as the basis for making a purchase offer. Broker S reminded Buyer #1 that he (Broker S) had clearly disclosed his status as subagent, and that he could not counsel Buyer #1 as to the property's market value. Broker B based his claim to entitlement on the grounds that he had provided Buyer #1 with information that Broker S could not or would not provide.

Analysis: The Hearing Panel should consider Broker S's initial introduction of the buyer to the property; that Broker S had made early and timely disclosure of his status as a subagent; whether adequate alternative market information was available to enable Buyer #1 to make an informed purchase decision; and whether Broker S's inability to provide a comparative market analysis of the property had



clearly broken the chain of events leading to the sale. If the panel determines that the buyer did not have cause to leave Broker S for Broker B, they may conclude that the series of events initiated by Broker S remained unbroken, and Broker S will likely prevail.

#### Fact Situation #9

Similar to #6, except Broker S made no disclosure of his status as subagent (or its implications) until faced with Buyer #1's request for a comparative market analysis.

Analysis: The Hearing Panel should consider Broker S's initial introduction of the buyer to the property; Broker S's failure to clearly disclose his agency status on a timely basis; whether adequate alternative market information was available to enable Buyer #1 to make an informed purchase decision; and whether Broker S's belated disclosure of his agency status (and its implications) clearly broke the chain of events leading to the sale. If the panel determines that Broker S's failure to disclose his agency status was a reasonable basis for Buyer #1's decision to engage the services of Broker B, they may conclude that the series of events initiated by Broker S had been broken, and Broker B will likely prevail.

#### Fact Situation #10

Listing Broker L placed a property on the market for sale or lease and offered compensation to brokers inquiring about the property. Broker A, acting as a subagent, showed the property on two separate occasions to the vice president of manufacturing for ABC Corporation. Broker B, also acting as a subagent but independent of Broker A, showed the same property to the chairman of ABC Corporation, whom he had known for more than fifteen (15) years. The chairman liked the property and instructed Broker B to draft and present a lease on behalf of ABC Corporation to Broker L, which was accepted by the owner/landlord. Subsequent to the commencement of the lease, Broker A requested arbitration with Broker L, claiming to be the procuring cause.

Analysis: This is an arbitrable matter as Broker L offered compensation to the procuring cause of the sale or lease. To avoid the possibility of having to pay two commissions, Broker L joined Broker B in arbitration so that all competing claims could be resolved in a single hearing. The Hearing Panel considered both brokers' introductions of the property to ABC Corporation. Should the Hearing Panel conclude that both brokers were acting independently and through separate series of events, the Hearing Panel may conclude that Broker B was directly responsible for the lease and should be entitled to the cooperating broker's portion of the commission. (Adopted 11/96)

#### Fact Situation #11

Broker A, acting as the agent for an out-of-state corporation, listed for sale or lease a 100,000 square foot industrial facility. The property was marketed offering compensation to both subagents and buyer/tenant agents. Over a period of several months, Broker A made the availability of the property known to XYZ Company and, on three (3) separate occasions, showed the property to various operational staff of XYZ Company. After the third showing, the vice president of finance asked Broker A to draft a lease for his review with the president of XYZ Company and its in-house counsel. The president, upon learning that Broker A was the listing agent for the property, instructed the vice president of finance to secure a tenant representative to ensure that XYZ Company was getting "the best deal." One week later, tenant representative Broker T presented Broker A with the same lease that Broker A had previously drafted and the president of XYZ Company had signed. The lease was accepted by the out-of-state corporation. Upon payment of the lease commission to Broker A, Broker A denied compensation to Broker T and Broker T immediately requested arbitration claiming to be the procuring cause.

Analysis: The Hearing Panel should consider Broker A's initial introduction of XYZ Company to the property, Broker A's contact with XYZ Company on an on-going basis, and whether Broker A initiated the series of events which led to the successful lease. Given the above facts, Broker A will likely prevail. Agency relationships are not synonymous with nor determinative of procuring cause. Representation and entitlement to compensation are separate issues.

#### Fact Situation #12

Broker A has had a long-standing relationship with Client B, the real estate manager of a large, diversified company. Broker A has acquired or disposed of twelve (12) properties for Client B over a five (5) year period. Client B asks Broker A to locate a large warehouse property to consolidate inventories from three local plants. Broker A conducts a careful evaluation of the operational and logistical needs of the plants, prepares a report of his findings for Client B, and identifies four (4) possible properties that seem to meet most of Client B's needs. At Client B's request, he arranges and conducts inspections of each of these properties with several operations level individuals. Two (2) of the properties were listed for sale exclusively by Broker C. After the inspections, Broker A sends Broker C a written registration letter in which he identifies Client B's company and outlines his expectation to be paid half of any commission that might arise from a transaction on either of the properties. Broker C responds with a written denial of registration, but agrees to share any commission that results from a transaction procured by Broker A on either of the properties. Six (6) weeks after the inspections, Client B selects one of the properties and instructs Broker A to initiate negotiations with Broker C. After several weeks the negotiations reach an impasse. Two (2) weeks later, Broker A learns that Broker C has presented a proposal directly to Client B for the other property that was previously inspected. Broker A then contacts Broker C, and demands to be included in the negotiations. Broker C refuses, telling Broker A that he has "lost control of his prospect," and will not be recognized if a transaction takes place on the second property. The negotiations proceed, ultimately resulting in a sale of the second property. Broker A files a request for arbitration against Broker C.



Analysis: This would be an arbitrable dispute as a compensation agreement existed between Broker A and Broker C. The Hearing Panel will consider Broker A's introduction of the property to Client B, the property reports prepared by Broker A, and the time between the impasse in negotiations on the first property and the sale of the second property. If the Hearing Panel determines that Broker A initiated the series of events that led to the successful sale, Broker A will likely prevail. (Adopted 11/96)



## Why not try Mediation?

Although no party to an arbitrable matter can be required to submit to mediation, it can be a useful tool in resolving the conflicts that arise involving members.

In Mediation a Mediation officer will meet with both the complainant and respondent in an informal basis. The goal is to have the parties reach an agreement without the formal process. This saves the time of having attorneys present, bringing in a five member panel and the time is less.

| Mediation  | Arbitration   |
|--|---|
| Informal meeting (ideally it is between the broker/manager) of the two firms and one mediation officer   | Formal hearing with witnesses, attorneys, five panel members, formal statements       |
| Discussion between the parties   | Formal swearing in, testimony   |
| All parties agree to the money   | Only one party gets the money and the panel decides who gets it                       |
| If an agreement can NOT be reached between the parties the issue will be sent to a hearing, however, no discussion at the mediation will be admissible at the formal hearing | The panel decides who gets the money and there is no appeal – you get only one chance |
| Leave knowing the results  | Must wait for the results to be mailed as certified mail                              |

**Mediation Not Mandatory:** It must be understood by all parties that participation in mediation procedures is entirely voluntary. The parties should be offered the opportunity and encouraged to participate in the mediation process in good faith, and, further, encouraged to abide by the determination. The parties to mediation should be aware that they may withdraw from the process at any point prior to reaching an agreement. Any offers of settlement that were not accepted or any suggested resolution proposed by the Mediation Officer that was not accepted will not be introduced as evidence nor considered in any manner should the matter require arbitration by the Board's Professional Standards Committee. However, if the parties agree to a settlement of the dispute, and the settlement has been reduced to writing and has been signed by all of the parties, the matter is deemed resolved and cannot be the subject of a subsequent arbitration hearing. In the event either of the parties later fails to abide by the terms of the settlement, the matter may not be arbitrated; instead, the other party should be encouraged to have the settlement agreement judicially enforced by a court of competent jurisdiction

If there is an agreement reached in Mediation, both parties will have their \$250 deposit returned.  
So, why not try mediation?



### Arbitration Worksheet

**NOTE: This worksheet is intended to assist hearing panels in identifying relevant issues and facts in determining questions of entitlement to disputed funds. It is intended to supplement – and not replace – the comprehensive list of questions found in Factor #6 in the Arbitration Guidelines. These questions are not listed in order of priority and are not weighted equally.**

| Question  | Answer | Favors<br>Complainant | Favors<br>Respondent | Favors<br>Neither | Other |
|---|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 1. Was an offer of compensation made through the MLS or otherwise?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 2. Is the claimant a party to whom the listing broker's offer of compensation was extended?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 3. What was the nature of any buyer representation agreement(s)? Was the agreement(s) exclusive or non-exclusive? What capacity(ies) was the cooperating broker(s) functioning in, e.g. agent, legally-recognized non-agent, other? |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 4. Were any of the brokers acting as subagents? As buyer brokers? In another legally recognized capacity?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 5. How was the first introduction to the property that was sold/leased made?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (a) Did the buyer/tenant find that property on their own?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (b) Who first introduced the purchaser or tenant to that property?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (c) Was the introduction made to a different representative of the buyer/tenant?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |

### Arbitration Worksheet

**NOTE: This worksheet is intended to assist hearing panels in identifying relevant issues and facts in determining questions of entitlement to disputed funds. It is intended to supplement – and not replace – the comprehensive list of questions found in Factor #6 in the Arbitration Guidelines. These questions are not listed in order of priority and are not weighted equally.**

| Question  | Answer | Favors<br>Complainant | Favors<br>Respondent | Favors<br>Neither | Other |
|---|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| (d) Was the “introduction” merely a mention that the property was listed?                                     |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (e) Was the property introduced as an open house?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (f) What subsequent efforts were made by the broker after the open house?                                     |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (g) What property was first introduced?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 6. When was the first introduction to the property that was sold/leased made?                                 |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (a) Was the introduction made when the buyer/tenant had a specific need for that type of property?            |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (b) Was the introduction instrumental in creating the desire to purchase/lease?                               |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (c) Did the buyer know about the property before the broker contacted him? Did he know it was for sale/lease? |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (d) Were there previous dealings between the buyer and the seller?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |

### Arbitration Worksheet

**NOTE: This worksheet is intended to assist hearing panels in identifying relevant issues and facts in determining questions of entitlement to disputed funds. It is intended to supplement – and not replace – the comprehensive list of questions found in Factor #6 in the Arbitration Guidelines. These questions are not listed in order of priority and are not weighted equally.**

| Question  | Answer | Favors<br>Complainant | Favors<br>Respondent | Favors<br>Neither | Other |
|---|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 7. What efforts subsequent to the first introduction to the property were made by the broker introducing the property that was sold or leased?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 8. If more than one cooperating broker was involved, how and when did the second cooperating broker enter the transaction?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 9. Did the broker who made the initial introduction to the property engage in conduct (or fail to take some action) which caused the purchaser or tenant to utilize the services of another broker? (estrangement)  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (a) Were agency disclosures made? When?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (b) Was the potential for dual agency disclosed? When?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 10. Did the broker who made the initial introduction to the property maintain contact with the purchaser or tenant, or could the broker's inaction have reasonably been viewed by the buyer or tenant as a withdrawal from the transaction? (abandonment) |        |                       |                      |                   |       |

### Arbitration Worksheet

**NOTE: This worksheet is intended to assist hearing panels in identifying relevant issues and facts in determining questions of entitlement to disputed funds. It is intended to supplement – and not replace – the comprehensive list of questions found in Factor #6 in the Arbitration Guidelines. These questions are not listed in order of priority and are not weighted equally.**

| Question  | Answer | Favors<br>Complainant | Favors<br>Respondent | Favors<br>Neither | Other |
|---|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 11. Was the entry of any cooperating broker into the transaction an intrusion into an existing relationship between the purchaser and another broker, or was it the result of abandonment or estrangement of the purchaser? |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 12. Did the buyer make the decision to buy independent of the broker's efforts/information?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 13. Did the seller act in bad faith to deprive the broker of his commission?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (a) Was there bad faith evident from the fact that the difference between the original bid submitted and the final sales price equaled the broker's commission?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (b) Was there bad faith evident from the fact that a sale to a third party was a straw transaction (one in which a non-involved party posed as the buyer) which was designed to avoid paying commission?                    |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (c) Did the seller freeze out the broker to avoid a commission dispute or to avoid paying a commission at all?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |

### Arbitration Worksheet

**NOTE: This worksheet is intended to assist hearing panels in identifying relevant issues and facts in determining questions of entitlement to disputed funds. It is intended to supplement – and not replace – the comprehensive list of questions found in Factor #6 in the Arbitration Guidelines. These questions are not listed in order of priority and are not weighted equally.**

| Question   | Answer | Favors<br>Complainant | Favors<br>Respondent | Favors<br>Neither | Other |
|--|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 14. Did the buyer seek to freeze out the broker?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (a) Did the buyer seek another broker in order to get a lower price?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (b) Did the buyer express the desire not to deal with the broker and refuse to negotiate through him?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (c) Did the contract provide that no brokers or certain brokers had been involved?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 15. Did the original introduction of the purchaser or tenant to the property start an uninterrupted series of events leading to the sale or lease, or was the series of events hindered or interrupted in any way? |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 16. If there was an interruption or break in the original series of events, how was it caused, and by whom?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (a) Did the seller change the listing agreement from an open listing to an exclusive listing agreement with another broker?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |

### Arbitration Worksheet

**NOTE: This worksheet is intended to assist hearing panels in identifying relevant issues and facts in determining questions of entitlement to disputed funds. It is intended to supplement – and not replace – the comprehensive list of questions found in Factor #6 in the Arbitration Guidelines. These questions are not listed in order of priority and are not weighted equally.**

| Question   | Answer | Favors<br>Complainant | Favors<br>Respondent | Favors<br>Neither | Other |
|--|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| (b) Did the buyer terminate the relationship with the broker?<br>Why?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (c) Was there interference in the series of events from any outside or intervening cause or party?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (b) Was there abandonment or estrangement?   |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| 17. Did the cooperating broker (or second cooperating broker) initiate a separate series of events, unrelated to and not dependent on any other broker's efforts, which led to the successful transaction— that is, did the broker perform services which assisted the buyer in making his decision to purchase? |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (a) Did the broker make preparations to show the property to the buyer?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (b) Did the broker make continued efforts after showing the property?  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |

### Arbitration Worksheet

**NOTE: This worksheet is intended to assist hearing panels in identifying relevant issues and facts in determining questions of entitlement to disputed funds. It is intended to supplement – and not replace – the comprehensive list of questions found in Factor #6 in the Arbitration Guidelines. These questions are not listed in order of priority and are not weighted equally.**

| Question   | Answer | Favors<br>Complainant | Favors<br>Respondent | Favors<br>Neither | Other |
|--|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| (c) Did the broker remove an impediment to the sale?                           |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (d) Did the broker make a proposal upon which the final transaction was based? |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
| (e) Did the broker motivate the buyer to purchase?                             |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
|  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
|  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
|  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
|  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
|  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
|  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |
|  |        |                       |                      |                   |       |